UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)
v.)) Case No. 17-57 (PG)
RAMON ANTONIO HIDALGO-PADILLA) Case No. 17-57 (PG))
Defendant)
DETENTION OF	RDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the E require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Findings of Fact
· ·	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local of	fense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or m	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
\square an offense for which the maximum senter	ice is death or life imprisonment.
\square an offense for which a maximum prison to	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	*
□ a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	but involves:
☐ a minor victim	
\Box the possession or use of a firearm or c	lestructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	iostructive device of any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	
•	
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	2250 nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a nce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
 □ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was commfederal, state release or local offense. □ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin from prison for the offense described in findin □ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutta 	2250 nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a nce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
 □ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense. □ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin from prison for the offense described in findin □ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutta of another person or the community. I further 	2250 nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a nce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release g (1). ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety
 □ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense. □ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin from prison for the offense described in findin □ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutta of another person or the community. I further 	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a nice the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release g (1). ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety r find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. ive Findings (A)
 □ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense. □ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed simple from prison for the offense described in findin □ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttate of another person or the community. I further Alternation	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a nce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release g (1). ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety r find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. ive Findings (A) Gendant has committed an offense

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	I	District of Puerto Rico
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pres the defendant's appearance and the safe	umption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ety of the community.
	A	lternative Findings (B)
$ \mathbf{V}(1) $	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
ī		ement of the Reasons for Detention
	•	submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
convinci	ng evidence \Box a preponderance of the	e evidence that
	ondition or combination of conditions of release t at further court proceedings court proceedin	se may be imposed that could reasonably secure the appearance of the gs and the safety of the community.
	Part III—	Directions Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent pra appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On orney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility arshal for a court appearance.
Date: _	02/01/2017	s/SILVIA CARREÑO-COLL
		Judge's Signature
		Hon. Silvia Carreño-Coll, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	-	Name and Title